



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/001,565	12/31/1997	HARLEY J STABER	,	6784
7:	590 10/29/2004	É,	EXAM	INER
K MCNEILL TAYLOR, JR.			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F	
P O BOX 489 HICKORY, NC 286010489			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
merori, no	C 200010 <del>4</del> 09	. •	2644	15
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/001,565	STABER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jefferey F Harold	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the sta	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 June 2002.					
·_ ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,11-17 and 20</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.	No.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,11-17 and 20 is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,11-17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ov the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	- · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been	oplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) L Interview S Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 14.</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/001,565

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on June 21, 2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds for rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/001,565

Art Unit: 2644

2. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11-18 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-20 of U. S. Patent No. 6,026,160 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Specifically the claims of the application disclose a network interface device comprising a splitter circuit for separating the input signals into two distinct output signals, likewise the patent discloses separating the signal however it uses a different configuration.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 703-306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold Examiner Art Unit 2644

October 27, 2004